

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America)

v.)

ZACHARY AARON JOHNSON)

Case No: 88-50070-10

USM No: 10507-039

Date of Previous Judgment: 10/24/1989)

David Koelzer

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 324 months **is reduced to** 262.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 40Amended Offense Level: 38Criminal History Category: IICriminal History Category: IIPrevious Guideline Range: 324 to 405 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/24/1989 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: May 13, 2008s/Paul D. Borman

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Paul D. Borman, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title